UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,368	11/15/2001	James A. Cole	DEE-34171	4699
PEARNE & GO	7590 05/13/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9T	H STREET	GRAHAM, CLEMENT B		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND,	OH 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,368	COLE, JAMES A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CLEMENT B. GRAHAM	3692
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ TI 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a subject to the drawing(s) and a	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct T1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/18/2008,5/17/02, 8/5/02,11/21/06, 7	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 7/2/07. 6) Other:	ate



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-23 remained pending in this Application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd U.S Patent U.S Patent 4, 876, 648 in view of Florance et al (Hereinafter Florance U.S Patent U.S Patent 6, 871, 140) in view of Cheetham et al (Hereinafter Cheetham U.S Patent U.S Patent 6, 115, 694).

As per claims 1, 3-4, 6-10, Lloyd discloses a method for processing a loan application by virtue of a computer system, where a real property is presented as collateral by the loan applicant, the method comprising steps of:

(a) inputting the loan application into the computer system, the loan application containing the address of the real property(see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

Lloyd fail to explicitly teach (b) providing the address of the property to an automated valuation model (AVM) system, the AVM system being capable of producing and returning valuation data for a real property in response to the provision of the address thereof (c) receiving valuation data for the corresponding property from the AVM system; and

Art Unit: 3692

(f) wherein, after the step (a), the steps (b) to (e) are carried out automatically in the computer system.

Page 3

However Florance discloses turning attention to the primary functions of the For Sale structured tab, by clicking on the Lookup Property button on the homepage, a user can search for a particular property. After clicking through this button, the present invention presents a Property Lookup page, as shown in FIG. 43, which asks for the property address, property name, listing number, building park, city, sub-market or listing company of the property to be found. The Property Lookup page also asks the user for the state in which the property is located. As an example of a property lookup, a user can enter the name "Park Place" in the data entry field for the property name. Then, when the user presses a Get Results button, the website returns a property lookup results list listing (FIG. 44) all of the properties in the database with the name "Park Place." The property list includes information such as address, city, state, price, square foot size, price per square foot, cap rate, and type of property (e.g., office, industrial, and land). As an example of another property lookup, to narrow the search, a user could specify the state in which "Park Place" property resides, for example, New York. With this search criteria, upon clicking through the Get Results button, the user would view a property lookup results list containing only the entry that reads "790 Park Place, Long Beach, N.Y." in FIG. 44. After entering the search criteria and viewing the property lookup results list, a user can double-click on a property listing to obtain the details about that property, including an overview of the property, financial information, tenant information, market statistics, comps, and a map of the area in which the property resides. During operation of the property lookup function, the present invention provides a menu on the screen for ongoing options such as Return to Homepage, Print Results, or

Enter a New Lookup Criteria.(see column 48 lines 26-58 and column 49-55 lines 1-67 and column 31 lines 48-60 and column 32 lines 42-58).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lloyd to include (b) providing the address of the property to an automated valuation model (AVM) system, the AVM system being capable of producing and returning valuation data for a real property in response to the provision of the address thereof (c) receiving valuation data for the corresponding property from the AVM system; and wherein, after the step (a), the steps (b) to (e) are carried out automatically in the computer system taught by Florance in order to determine the loan to value of the property.

Lloyd and Florance fail to explicitly teach determining a primary LoanCap by multiplying the property ValueCap by a pre-determined LTVCap (Loan-to-Value%Cap), the primary LoanCap being able to be used in the comparing step (e) and (e) comparing a requested loan amount in the loan application to the LoanCap, wherein, when the requested loan amount is within the LoanCap, the loan application can be approved.

However Cheetham discloses an understanding of the above preference criteria for the first five attributes (i.e., the date of sale, the distance from subject property, the sale price, the living area, and the lot size) is graphically described in FIG. 4. Each of the attributes in FIG. 4 have a trapezoidal shape representing its criteria evaluation functions. For each attribute, the broader base of the trapezoidal shape represents the range of tolerable values and corresponds to the interval-value used in the preliminary retrieval query. The smaller top or core of the trapezoidal shape represents the most desirable range of values and establishes the top preference for the attribute. An attribute value falling inside the most desirable region will receive a

Application/Control Number: 10/003,368

Art Unit: 3692

preference value of 1. As the feature value moves away from the most desirable range, its associated preference value will decrease from 1 to 0. At the end of this evaluation, each comparable will have a preference vector, with each element taking values in the [0,1] interval. These values represent the partial degree of membership of each attribute value in the fuzzy sets and fuzzy relations representing the preference criteria provided in the fuzzy preference scales. Typically, comparable properties selected in the preliminary retrieval that have attribute values falling outside the tolerable value range will not be evaluated.(se column 4 lines 19-67 and column 5 lines 1-28).

Page 5

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lloyd and Florance to include determining a primary LoanCap by multiplying the property ValueCap by a pre-determined LTVCap (Loan-to-Value%Cap), the primary LoanCap being able to be used in the comparing step (e) and (e) comparing a requested loan amount in the loan application to the LoanCap, wherein, when the requested loan amount is within the LoanCap, the loan application can be approved taught by Cheetham in order to determine the value of a property that falls with a range of values.

As per claim 2, Lloyd discloses wherein the address of the property is provided to a plurality of AVM systems, each of which returns independent valuation data for the corresponding property. (see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

As per claim 5, Lloyd fail to explicitly teach wherein the preset value is determined depending on the geographic area of the property. (see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

As per claim 11, Lloyd discloses where a real estate property is presented as collateral by the loan applicant, the method comprising steps of:

(a) checking whether or not all required credit and lending criteria, except for valuation of the property, are satisfied, wherein, if not satisfied, the loan application can be denied or returned to the applicant thereof(see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67)., and wherein, if satisfied, the following step (b) is carded out (b) carrying out the method according to claim 1. (see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

As per claim 12, Lloyd discloses wherein the step (b) further comprises a step of scrutinizing the value of the property when the requested loan amount is not within the LoanCap to further determine whether the application can be approved. (see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

As per claim 13, Lloyd discloses wherein a conventional appraisal of the property is used in scrutinizing the value of the property. (see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

As per claim 14-23, Lloyd discloses where a real property is presented as collateral by the loan applicant, the system comprising:

(a) means for inputting the loan application into the system, the loan application containing the address of the real property(see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

Page 7

Lloyd fail to explicitly teach (b) means for providing the address of the property to an automated valuation model (AVM) system, for producing and returning valuation data for a real property in response to the provision of the address thereof;

(c) means for receiving valuation data for the corresponding property from the AVM system(d) means for determining a LoanCap for the corresponding property by applying a pre-determined lending policy to the valuation data and information provided in the loan application, the lending policy having been pre-set in the system; and (e) means for comparing a requested loan amount in the loan application to the LoanCap, wherein, when the requested loan amount is within the LoanCap, the loan application can (,e approved.

However Florance discloses Turning attention to the primary functions of the For Sale structured tab, by clicking on the Lookup Property button on the homepage, a user can search for a particular property. After clicking through this button, the present invention presents a Property Lookup page, as shown in FIG. 43, which asks for the property address, property name, listing number, building park, city, sub-market or listing company of the property to be found. The Property Lookup page also asks the user for the state in which the property is located. As an example of a property lookup, a user can enter the name "Park Place" in the data entry field for the property name. Then, when the user presses a Get Results button, the website returns a property lookup results list listing (FIG. 44) all of the properties in the database with the name "Park Place." The property list includes information such as address, city, state, price, square foot size, price per square foot, cap rate, and type of property (e.g., office, industrial, and land). As an example of another property lookup, to narrow the search, a user could specify the state in which "Park Place" property resides, for example, New York. With this search criteria, upon clicking through the Get Results button, the user would view a property lookup results list containing only the entry that reads "790 Park Place, Long Beach, N.Y." in FIG. 44. After entering the search criteria and viewing the property lookup results list, a user can double-click on a property listing to obtain the details about that property, including an overview of the property, financial information, tenant information, market statistics, comps, and a map of the area in which the property resides. During operation of the property lookup function, the present invention provides a menu on the screen for ongoing options such as Return to Homepage, Print Results, or Enter a New Lookup Criteria. (see column 48 lines 26-58 and column 49-55 lines 1-67 and column 31 lines 48-60 and column 32 lines 42-58).

Page 8

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lloyd to include means for providing the address of the property to an automated valuation model (AVM) system, for producing and returning valuation data for a real property in response to the provision of the address thereof; (c) means for receiving valuation data for the corresponding property from the AVM system(d) taught by Florance in order to determine the loan value of a property.

Lloyd and Florance fail to explicitly teach means for determining a LoanCap for the corresponding property by applying a pre-determined lending policy to the valuation data and information provided in the loan application, the lending policy having been pre-set in the system; and (e) means for comparing a requested loan amount in the loan application to the

Page 9

LoanCap, wherein, when the requested loan amount is within the LoanCap, the loan application can ,e approved.

However Cheetham discloses an understanding of the above preference criteria for the first five attributes (i.e., the date of sale, the distance from subject property, the sale price, the living area, and the lot size) is graphically described in FIG. 4. Each of the attributes in FIG. 4 have a trapezoidal shape representing its criteria evaluation functions. For each attribute, the broader base of the trapezoidal shape represents the range of tolerable values and corresponds to the interval-value used in the preliminary retrieval query. The smaller top or core of the trapezoidal shape represents the most desirable range of values and establishes the top preference for the attribute. An attribute value falling inside the most desirable region will receive a preference value of 1. As the feature value moves away from the most desirable range, its associated preference value will decrease from 1 to 0. At the end of this evaluation, each comparable will have a preference vector, with each element taking values in the [0,1] interval. These values represent the partial degree of membership of each attribute value in the fuzzy sets and fuzzy relations representing the preference criteria provided in the fuzzy preference scales. Typically, comparable properties selected in the preliminary retrieval that have attribute values falling outside the tolerable value range will not be evaluated.(se column 4 lines 19-67 and column 5 lines 1-28).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lloyd and Florance to include means for determining a LoanCap for the corresponding property by applying a pre-determined lending policy to the valuation data and information provided in the loan application, the lending

policy having been pre-set in the system; and (e) means for comparing a requested loan amount in the loan application to the LoanCap, wherein, when the requested loan amount is within the LoanCap, the loan application can ,e approved taught by Cheetham in order to determine the value of a property that falls with a range of values.

Conclusion

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 01/18/2008 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.
- 5. In response to Applicant's arguments that Lloyd and Florance fail to teach or suggest "loan cap"

However the Examiner disagrees with Applicant's because these limitations were addressed with a combinations of teachings as stated"

Lloyd discloses a method for processing a loan application by virtue of a computer system, where a real property is presented as collateral by the loan applicant, the method comprising steps of, (a) inputting the loan application into the computer system, the loan application containing the address of the real property(see column 4 lines 46-64 and column 5 lines 30-67 and column 6-12 lines 1-67).

However Florance discloses turning attention to the primary functions of the For Sale structured tab, by clicking on the Lookup Property button on the homepage, a user can search for a particular property. After clicking through this button, the present invention presents a Property Lookup page, as shown in FIG. 43, which asks for the property address, property name, listing number, building park, city, sub-market or listing company of the property to be found. The Property Lookup page also asks the user for the state in which the property is located. As an example of a property lookup, a user can enter the name "Park Place" in the data entry field for

Art Unit: 3692

0000

the property name. Then, when the user presses a Get Results button, the website returns a property lookup results list listing (FIG. 44) all of the properties in the database with the name "Park Place." The property list includes information such as address, city, state, price, square foot size, price per square foot, cap rate, and type of property (e.g., office, industrial, and land). As an example of another property lookup, to narrow the search, a user could specify the state in which "Park Place" property resides, for example, New York. With this search criteria upon clicking through the Get Results button, the user would view a property lookup results list containing only the entry that reads "790 Park Place, Long Beach, N.Y." in FIG. 44. After entering the search criteria and viewing the property lookup results list, a user can double-click on a property listing to obtain the details about that property, including an overview of the property, financial information, tenant information, market statistics, comps, and a map of the area in which the property resides. During operation of the property lookup function, the present invention provides a menu on the screen for ongoing options such as Return to Homepage, Print Results, or Enter a New Lookup Criteria.(see column 48 lines 26-58 and column 49-55 lines 1-67 and column 31 lines 48-60 and column 32 lines 42-58).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lloyd to include (b) providing the address of the property to an automated valuation model (AVM) system, the AVM system being capable of producing and returning valuation data for a real property in response to the provision of the address thereof (c) receiving valuation data for the corresponding property from the AVM system; and wherein, after the step (a), the steps (b) to (e) are carried out automatically in the computer system taught by Florance in order to determine the loan to value of the property.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,368 Page 12

Art Unit: 3692

Cheetham discloses an understanding of the above preference criteria for the first five attributes (i.e., the date of sale, the distance from subject property, the sale price, the living area, and the lot size) is graphically described in FIG. 4. Each of the attributes in FIG. 4 have a trapezoidal shape representing its criteria evaluation functions. For each attribute, the broader base of the trapezoidal shape represents the range of tolerable values and corresponds to the interval-value used in the preliminary retrieval query. The smaller top or core of the trapezoidal shape represents the most desirable range of values and establishes the top preference for the attribute. An attribute value falling inside the most desirable region will receive a preference value of 1. As the feature value moves away from the most desirable range, its associated preference value will decrease from 1 to 0. At the end of this evaluation, each comparable will have a preference vector, with each element taking values in the [0,1] interval. These values represent the partial degree of membership of each attribute value in the fuzzy sets and fuzzy relations representing the preference criteria provided in the fuzzy preference scales. Typically, comparable properties selected in the preliminary retrieval that have attribute values falling outside the tolerable value range will not be evaluated.(se column 4 lines 19-67 and column 5 lines 1-28).

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/003,368 Page 13

Art Unit: 3692

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to CLEMENT B. GRAHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6795. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on (571) 272-6702. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frantzy Poinvil/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692

CC

Art Unit 2392